

Physician Factsheet

HeartTrends[®] - The Effortless Stress Test Alternative Early cardiac screening for healthy individuals

Test description: HeartTrends is new a diagnostic test identifying myocardial ischemia at least as reliably as an exercise stress test in individuals without known coronary artery disease. This clinically proven test analyzes 1 hour of heart data wearing a standard recorder without any stressful maneuvers or heart strain. It is intended for screening otherwise *healthy* individuals offering a new, *additional* "risk factor" for enhanced patient diagnosis.

HeartTrends score: HeartTrends reports a singular Dy/Dx indicator value to evaluate your patient in conjunction with their clinical history, symptoms, risk factors, blood tests, along with your clinical judgment. The indicator value may be used to supplement the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. HeartTrends does not offer a diagnostic opinion to the patient.

Clinical practice recommendations: For screening of at-risk populations, without known CAD, exhibiting one or more of the following: men over age 40, women over 50, smoking, family history, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or other known cardiovascular risk factors.

Ideal Testing locations: HeartTrends is ideally suited for screening individuals at such places as: Healthy Check-up facilities, Family Physician practices, Life Insurance companies, Urgent Care centers, Fitness clubs, and more.

Contraindications: Individuals with pacemakers and under age 21.

Clinical exclusion criteria: Established CAD, atrial fibrillation or flutter, diagnosis of an acute coronary syndrome or typical

angina, presence of a cardiac pacemaker, clinical diagnosis of heart failure, moderate or severe pulmonary disease. acute myocarditis or any presence of cardiomyopathy, known drug or alcohol dependence, presence of left bundle branch block, significant intra-ventricular conduction delay or significant (>1mm) ST deviations at baseline. Beta-blockers should be withheld for at least 24 hours prior to test. Athletes should use a treadmill to attain true target heart rate measurements.

Minimum testing time: HeartTrends requires recording a minimum of 45 minutes of Normal ECG-annotated R-R intervals. Since other types of ECG-annotated heartbeats during may occur the examination, at least 60 minutes of recorder time should be used. Tests may be reported as "Error-not enough usable data" if insufficient. Other types of ECG-annotated heartbeats (such as Aberrant, HRV, Pace, Inhibit) could be indicative of other arrhythmic pathologies or simply be due to electrical noise movement artifact in the ECG electrode placement which could affect the HeartTrends result.

Disclosure: Similar to results of any other noninvasive test for the detection of ischemia, results should be interpreted within the clinical setting of the individual being tested. For example, low risk asymptomatic individuals with a positive HeartTrends result may be referred for more specific noninvasive evaluation and risk stratification, while high risk individuals with typical coronary symptoms should be referred for further coronary evaluation regardless of the HeartTrends results.

www.HeartTrends.com



Medical Basis Underlying HeartTrends®

Cardiologists know that heart rate variability (HRV) is a well-established marker of mortality and sudden death shown to be attenuated in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) even at rest. Based on this clinical evidence, HeartTrends was developed to provide an innovative modality with a high sensitivity for detection of myocardial ischemia at rest.

The diagnostic yield of the HeartTrends test has now been established and reported in peerreviewed journals.^{9,11} Clinical studies show HeartTrends sensitivity (77%) compared with standard exercise stress testing relating both to subsequent coronary angiography. The negative predictive value for ruling out myocardial ischemia was 98%. While your actual measurements may differ – and may even be lower-- HeartTrends offers a new, *additional* "risk factor" for enhanced patient diagnosis.

The heart rate of individuals displays beat-to-beat variations that result from fluctuations in autonomic nervous system activity at the sinus node. Heart rate variability (HRV) decreases under situations of stress, either emotional or physical, whereas it increases with rest. HRV is considered a noninvasive marker of autonomic nervous system function.^{1,2} Over the past decade, low HRV has been shown to have prognostic value in patients with myocardial infarction.³ In the general population low HRV is associated with death^{4,5} and, as evidenced in the Framingham Heart Study, with the risk of cardiac events.^{6,7}

Several studies have shown that there is significant association between reduced HRV and incident coronary artery disease (CAD)^{6,7} suggesting that the imbalance of sympathetic and parasympathetic activity is associated with increased risk of CAD. These findings provide support for the hypothesis that correlates reduced parasympathetic activity to newly diagnosed CAD in the general population.

Recent clinical trial data indicates that the HRV analysis incorporated in the HeartTrends device⁸ is a highly sensitive, noninvasive tool for the detection of myocardial ischemia in subjects without known prior CAD, thereby providing an important new diagnostic tool for this population.^{9,10,11}

1 Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Circulation. 1996; 93:1043-1065.

² Hayano J, Sakakibara Y, Yamada A. Accuracy of assessment of cardiac vagal tone by heart rate variability in normal subjects. Am J Cardiol. 1991; 67:199-204.

³ Bigger JT, et al. The ability of several short term measures of RR variability to predict mortality after myocardial infarction. Circulation. 1993; 88:927-934.

⁴ Tsuji H, Venditti FJ, et al. Reduced heart rate variability and mortality risk in an elderly cohort: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 1994; 90:878-883.

⁵ Dekker JM, et al. Heart rate variability from short electrocardiographic recordings predicts mortality from all causes in middle-aged and elderly men: the Zutphen Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 145:899-908.

⁶ Tsuji H, et al. Impact of reduced heart rate variability on risk for cardiac events: The Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 1996; 94:2850-2855.

⁷ Liao D, et al. Cardiac autonomic function and incident coronary heart disease: a population-based case-cohort study: the ARIC Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 145:696–706.

⁸ Rozen G, et al. Multipole Analysis of Heart Rate Variability as a Predictor of Imminent Ventricular Arrhythmias in ICD Patients. Pacing Clin. Electrophysiol. 2013; 36(11):1342-7.

⁹ Oieru D, et al. A Novel Heart Rate Variability Algorithm for the Detection of Significant Coronary Artery Disease – Pilot Data from a Prospective Clinical Trial. IMAJ 2015; 17:161-165.

¹⁰ Goldkorn I, et al. Comparison of the Usefulness of Heart Rate Variability vs. Exercise Stress Testing for the Detection of Myocardial Ischemia in Patients Without Known Coronary Artery Disease. Am. J. Cardiology 2015; 115:1518-1522.

¹¹ Clinical Trials.gov: US National Institute of Health (www.clinicaltrials.gov -- search for 'HeartTrends').



Why is HeartTrends more accurate than other heart rate variability (HRV) analyses?

HeartTrends is unique in that it has been clinically tested and peer-reviewed showing earlier prognostic therapeutic benefit than previous HRV analyses. HeartTrends uses the Non-linear Multipole Analysis method for deriving information from *three* domains: time, frequency, and RR randomness as opposed to traditional one-dimensional HRV standard deviation. Notably, prior HRV algorithms were used mostly for risk stratification, while HeartTrends is the first to show that heart rate variability can be used to detect the presence of significant myocardial ischemia associated with significant coronary artery disease in individuals without known CAD.

In-depth, highly technical explanation

In clinical medicine, the dynamics of the beat-to-beat (RR) time series is commonly represented by a phase-space (or Poincaré) plot, where each RR interval is plotted against the previous one. The classification of the phase-space plot is traditionally performed by visual inspection and semi-quantitative analysis describing the features of the plot, as length or width, but that approach ignores the varying density of points leading to similar plots due to hearts with very different dynamics.

The Multipole HRV analysis is a relatively new way of investigating the Poincaré plot from complex time series. We interpret the Poincaré plot as a two-dimensional body, where each data point in the plot is assigned a unit mass, in order to describe the total mass distribution within the plot. The measures obtained from this kind of analysis bear intrinsic time dependence due to the very construction of the plot. As a result *the Multipole method derives information from both the time- and the frequency-domains as well as reflecting increased randomness in the RR interval time series. The traditional HRV-measures derive only information from one of the two domains, which seems to be the reason that The Multipole Method have shown more prognostic power than previous suggested risk markers.*

From the time series one may calculate the leading multipoles: the quadrupole and the hexadecapoles, and from the latter one derives the new HRV parameter Dyx. The Quadrupole (Qyy) describes the overall distribution of data points in the Poincaré Plot (i.e. the shape of the plot). It was found to be a strong predictor of mortality in a population of post-myocardial infarction (MI) patients with both depressed and preserved LVEF.¹² It has sometimes been used in combination with Dyx as a weighted multipole parameter shown to be a stronger predictor of mortality after MI than SDNN and the short-term scaling exponent Alpha-1.¹³

The varying density of data points implies that some other measures based on analysis of the plot incorrectly add the same significance to low populated areas of the plot as to higher populated areas. This is for example the case for SD12 which is the ratio between the length (SD2) and the width (SD1) of an imaginary ellipse fitted to the Poincaré Plot with the center in the average RR interval. In contrast to SD12, Dyx is a relative density measure obtained from the plot with prevalence of the densest populated area

Joergensen et al. compared the Multipole method with the traditional HRV measures in the Nordic ICD study. Patients with AMI were screened with 2D Echocardiography and 24h

¹² Joergensen RM, et al. **Prediction of ventricular tachycardia in ICD patients based on the multipole method.** European Heart Journal (2007) 28:414 (Abstract Supplement).

¹³ Olesen RM et al. Statistical Analysis of Diamond MI Study by the Multipole Method. Physiol. Meas. 2005, 26:591-598.



Holter-recording 2-14 days post-MI. Reduced Dyx predicted both all-cause, cardiovascular mortality and sudden cardiovascular death in univariate Cox proportional hazard analysis.

In multivariate analysis with correction for known risk factors, Dyx continued to show independent predictive value with a hazard value of 2.1 (C.L. 1.1-4.2), whereas none of the traditional HRV measures reached statistical significance. The assumption is that, due to Dyx obtaining information from time as well as frequency intervals combined with focusing on only dense populated areas of the recurrence plot, prognostic power for VT/VF arrhythmias is enhanced relative to traditional HRV-measures which do not receive information from the time domain.